October 3, 2014

## **VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL**

The Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Judge
Northern District of California
San Francisco Courthouse, Courtroom 10 – 19<sup>th</sup> Floor
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: In Re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation

Case No. 3:07-md-01827

## Dear Judge Illston:

For the reasons enumerated in our previous letter to the Court regarding late claims, Class Action Refund ("CAR") respectfully requests that this Court exercise its discretion and allow twelve (12) additional late claims totaling 44,151 panel equivalents that have been filed in the past week on behalf of our clients in the above-referenced case to be included in the planned distribution of the settlement funds.

These twelve additional claims should not be treated differently from the other late claims that were filed in this case, specifically those filed prior to the proposed June 6, 2014 cutoff for late claims and those filed subsequent to the proposed June 6, 2014 cutoff for late claims. There is no material difference between these eight claims and the thousands of other late claims that Class Counsel seeks to include in the distribution.

None of these claimants were aware of the settlement and their status as class members until having a conversation with our office. Once they became aware of the settlement and their right to participate as class members, they expeditiously provided all the information necessary to file a claim.

In the Direct Purchaser Settlement from the same case referenced above, this Court allowed two late claimants (Propellor, Inc., and DuPont) to participate in the settlement despite contacting Rust Consulting after the Court-imposed deadline for filing declarations supporting the payment of late and disputed claims, and after 80% of the settlement funds had already

## Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 9251 Filed 10/06/14 Page 2 of 3

been distributed. As such, the timing of these twelve additional claims should not be a factor in determining whether or not they should be allowed to participate in the distribution of the settlement funds.

Allowing these claims will not prejudice the Defendants or other class members and will not delay the distribution of the settlement funds. These claims were "late" because of lack of notice and as soon as the claimants had notice, they acted quickly and in good faith to get their claims filed.

For the reasons set forth above and the reasons set forth in our previous request, the Court should exercise this discretion and permit the late claims referenced herein to be included in the planned distribution of the settlement funds.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patrick D. Jermyn Deputy General Counsel

Copy to: Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

**Counsel for Settling States** 

**Rust Consulting** 

Francis O. Scarpulla, Esq.
ZELLE HOFMANN & MASON LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Co-Lead Class Counsel for
Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

Lizabeth A. Brady, Esq.
Chief, Multistate Antitrust Enforcement
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
Counsel for Plaintiff State of Florida
Missouri

Kevin Wells, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
ARKANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
323 Center St., Suite 500
Little Rock, AR 72205
Counsel for Plaintiff State of Arkansas

M. Elizabeth Lippitt, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Corporate Oversight Division
Antitrust Section
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
G. Mennen Williams Building, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
525 W. Ottawa Street
Lansing, MI 48933
Counsel for Plaintiff State of Michigan

Douglas L. Davis, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. Box 1789
Charleston, WV 25326
Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia

Robin M. Niemic RUST CONSULTING 5210 Hood Road, Suite 100 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418 Joseph M. Alioto, Esq.
ALIOTO LAW FIRM
One Sansome Street, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Co-Lead Class Counsel for
Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

Anne E. Schneider, Esq.
Assistant Attorneys General/Antitrust Counsel
MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
P.O. Box 899
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Counsel for Plaintiff State of

Nicole S. Gordon, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 1000
San Francisco, CA 94102-3664
Counsel for Plaintiff State of California

Jeremy R. Kasha, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Antitrust Bureau
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
STATE OF NEW YORK
120 Broadway, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10271
Counsel for Plaintiff State of New York

Gwendolyn J. Cooley, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. Box 7857
17 W. Main Street
Madison, WI 53707-7857
Counsel for Plaintiff State of Wisconsin